Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/683,548	BURNS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stuart F. Baum	1638
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending</u>		
(1) Stuart F. Baum.	(3)	
(2) <u>Michelle Ludwig</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 200	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	rexaminer will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
		•
		-
•		
The bourn		
(Éxaminer/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative queried as to a discrepency between the stated allowed claims on the Notice of Allowability, which included claim 11, and the cancelation of claim 11 as stated in the Examiner's Amendment. To correct the discrepency, a Supplemental Notice of Allowability is being issued, deleting claim 11 from the allowed claims, as per the Examiner's Amendment. The Allowed claims as stated on the Supplemental Examiner's Amendment are claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-10, 12, 14, 18-20 (renumbered claims 1-13)...